


## Decision Record – Proposed Traffic Calming Speed Humps, Gadsby Street, Nuneaton

<b>Cabinet Portfolio Holder taking the decision</b>	Councillor Jeff Clarke Transport & Planning
<b>Date of Decision</b> (not before 18 October 2019)	21/10/2019
	

### Decision Taken

That the Portfolio Holder for Transport and Planning approves the installation of the proposed speed humps upon Gadsby Street, Nuneaton as advertised pursuant to section 90A of the Highways Act 1980.

### Reasons for Decisions

Where objections have been received to a road traffic order the approval of the Portfolio Holder to their implementation is required.

### Background Information

Proposal for nine number traffic calming speed humps was consulted on between 18<sup>th</sup> July 2019 to 9<sup>th</sup> August 2019 which included the local newspaper and residents within the affected area, who received a letter. Four letters of objections were received.

<u>Representations of objections</u>	<u>Officer response</u>
The proposed speed humps will cause damage to vehicles and hinder emergency vehicles.	The proposed installations of the traffic calming features are circulated to all the emergency services within the consultation period for them to raise any concerns and objections with regards to the scheme. This scheme did not raise any concerns or objections from the emergency services. The construction and height of the speed humps (height of proposed speed humps in Gadsby Street are 0.075m) are designed to industry standards to slow vehicles but not to cause damage to standard vehicles.
The proposed speed humps are a waste of the council budget and should be spent on maintaining and repairing the carriageways.	The budget for this scheme is funded by the Local Councillors delegated budget which is used to address issues in their district/boroughs raised by the residents and is completely separate from the County Highways maintenance budget.
The proposed speed humps will reduce parking spaces for residents along Gadsby Street.	The locations and construction height of the proposed speed humps will not impede the residents from parking on the speed humps, maintaining the amount of road space for residents to park their vehicles.
The proposed speed humps will be hazardous for vehicles reversing along the carriageway if they meet an approaching vehicle.	The location of the proposed speed humps and construction height of 0.075m will not impede vehicles reversing as the locations are far enough apart to give the drivers good

	forward visibility of any vehicles that are approaching from the opposite direction.
The proposed speed humps will have an impact on the environment with increased pollution due to the vehicles driving slower and taking longer to travel along the carriageway	Although some traffic management measures can result in increased emissions per vehicle, they also generally result in a reduction in the volume of traffic. Thus, even though emissions per vehicle may increase, this can be offset by the reduction in traffic. The amount of traffic in residential areas is relatively small, and traffic diverted to other roads is unlikely to have a significant effect on emissions.
Wintrier conditions will make it difficult to traverse the speed humps.	The construction height and gradient of the proposed speed humps will not impact on the ability of the motorists to traverse the humps in wintrier conditions.

### Financial Implications

The scheme will be fully funded from the Local Members Delegated Budget. Any further works required post Road Safety Audit Stage 3 or raised post construction by Residents will be funded through the Local Members Delegated Budget.

<b>Report Author</b>	Marcus Alford-Longley
<b>Assistant Director</b>	David Ayton-Hill
<b>Strategic Director</b>	Mark Ryder
<b>Portfolio Holder</b>	Councillor Jeff Clarke

### Checklist

<b>Urgent matter?</b>	N
<b>Confidential or Exempt?</b> (State the category of exempt information)	N
<b>Is the decision contrary to the budget and policy framework?</b>	N

### List of Reports considered

Link to published proposed decision report:

<https://democratic.warwickshire.gov.uk/cm5/Calendarofmeetings/tabid/73/ctl/ViewMeetingPublic/mid/410/Meeting/4599/Committee/593/Default.aspx>

### List of Background Papers

None

### Members and officers consulted or informed

Portfolio Holder – Councillor Jeff Clarke

Corporate Board

Legal – Ian Marriott

Finance – Virginia Rennie

Equality – Keira Rounsley

Democratic Services – Paul Williams

Local Member: Councillor Sargeant

Other Members: Councillors Cockburn, Phillips, Shilton, Chattaway, Chilvers, Roodhouse and Clarke